ENRIO 2021 Congress on Research Integrity Practice				
Monday 27.9.	<u>Workshops</u>			
Day 1	Tuesday, 28 September 2021			
Time (CEST)	Stream 1	Stream 2		
9:45-11:00 CEST	Session 1. Opening session at the ENRIO studio Chair: ENRIO host Satu Lipponen			
	Welcome to the congress! Greetings from the organisers: Riitta Keiski, Chair of the Finnish National Board on Research Integrity TENK, Finland Sanna-Kaisa Spoof, President of the European Network of Research Integrity Offices ENRIO, Finland Ilkka Niemelä, President of Aalto University, Finland			
	<b>Daniele Fanelli</b> , London School of Economics and Political Science, UK. What new challenges lie ahead for research integrity officers?			
11:00-12:00 CEST	Session 2. Institutional responsibility and research funding Chair: Krista Varantola			
	<b>Lex Bouter</b> , Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, the Netherlands. <i>What research institutions can do to foster research integrity</i>			

Isidoros Karatzas, Research Ethics and Integrity Sector, European Commission.

Research integrity priorities in Horizon Europe: preparing for the new

challenges

12:00-13:00 CEST	Lunch break	
13:00-14:00 CEST	Session 3. Authorship issues in research publishing Chair: Hjördis Czesnick	Presentations 1. European perspectives of research misconduct Chair: Loreta Tauginienė
	Pekka Louhiala, University of Tampere, Finland. Who is an author?	Tamarinde Haven*, Joeri Tijdink, Brian Martinson, Lex Bouter, Frans Oort, Amsterdam, NL and Minnesota, US. <i>Explaining variance in</i> perceived research misbehaviour: Results from a survey among academic researchers in Amsterdam
	<b>Elizabeth Moylan</b> , Research Integrity and Publishing Ethics at Wiley, UK. Why does authorship matter?	Katharina Miller, Partner & Gender Expert, Path2Integrity. Overview of sanctions for research misconduct within European Union: a legal perspective Sandra Bendiscioli, EMBO, Germany. Options for a more consistent governance of research integrity in Europe
14:00-15:00 CEST	Presentations 2. National research integrity systems: France, Estonia and Ukraine Chair: Urša Opara Krašovec	Presentations 3. Developing best practices for a healthy research culture Chair: Siret Rutiku
	Caroline Strube*, Joëlle Alnot, Romain Pierronnet, Catherine Tessier and Nathalie Theret, OFIS, ONERA, RESINT and RNCD, France. Research integrity and ethics training in France: a national overview	Giorgia Adamo* and Cinzia Caporale, National Research Council, Italy.  Preventing and managing research misconduct: the experience of the  CNR Research Ethics and Integrity Committee
	Margit Sutrop*, Marten Juurik, Kristi Lõuk, Mari-Liisa Parder and Katrin Velbaum, University of Tartu, Estonia. How to engage the whole research community in the writing of the code of research integrity: Estonian experience	Tobias Grimm, German Research Foundation (DFG), Germany. <i>Good practice publishing as part of research integrity practice</i>

Ülle Must\*, Education and Youth Board, Estonia, and Valentyna Andrushchenko, Centre for Science-Technical Information and Innovation Progress Facilitation in Ukraine, Ukraine. *Unpacking the Ukrainian paradox* 

Cultivating a barrel of good apples: Research integrity cultures & training

Andreas Görlich, German Research Foundation (DFG), Germany. What makes a good CV? Challenges and responsibilities for a research funding organization

15:00-15:15			
CEST	Coffee break & virtual posters		
15:15-15:30 CEST	ENRIO studio takes a look at research integrity in technology and arts: Interview with <b>Ossi Naukkarinen</b> , Vice President of Research, Aalto University		
15:30-16:30 CEST	Session 4. EU data protection laws and research integrity Chair: Annette Birkeland	Presentations 4. Conflicting interests, multiple actors: Challenges in research misconduct investigations Chair: Bert Seghers	
	<b>David Erdos</b> , University of Cambridge, UK. <i>Academic expression, knowledge</i> facilitation and the General Data Protection Regulation	Marten Juurik, University of Tartu, Estonia. Conflict of interests and conflict of loyalties in the context of handling cases of misconduct: the example of implementing the Estonian Code of Conduct for Research Integrity	
	Maria Rehbinder, Aalto University, Finland. Artistic expression, artistic research and informing the data subject	Jennifer Van Aswegen, Social Policy Ireland, Ireland. Authorship accountability and research governance: Public policy and research integrity in a time of crisis - A case study from Ireland	
	<b>Helena Eronen</b> , University of Eastern Finland, Finland. <i>Data protection issues</i> at the UEF Committee on Research Ethics	Vidar Enebakk, National Committee for Research Ethics in the Social Sciences and the Humanities, Norway. <i>How can co-production in research challenge the integrity of research?</i>	
16:30-17:30 CEST	Session 5. Research integrity training track (1) Chair: Nicole Föger		
	<b>Tricia Bertram Gallant</b> , Academic Integrity Office, University of California, USA.		

Michael Gommel and Gerlinde Sponholz, Team Scientific Integrity, Germany. *Research integrity trainings: Successes and challenges* 

Mervi Heikkinen et al, University of Oulu, Finland. *Research ethics working group at a university - multidisciplinary community to facilitate responsible conduct of research* 

17:30-17:45

9:30-10:30

**ENRIO** studio

# Day 2

**CEST** 

# Wednesday, 29 September 2021

Stream 2

Time (CEST) Stream 1

Cha

Session 6. Whistleblower protection

**Chair: ENRIO host** 

**Sanna-Kaisa Spoof**, General Secretary of TENK and President of ENRIO, Finland. Who are the whistleblowers who report research misconduct and do they all need protection?

**Nicole Föger**, Austrian Agency for Research Integrity, Austria. *Eight months digital whistleblower platform: lessons learned* 

Panel discussion

**Hjördis Czesnick**, German Research Ombudsman Office and vice chair of ENRIO, Germany

Pablo Fernandez, UKRIO and vice president of ENRIO, UK
Nicole Föger, Austrian Agency for Research Integrity, Austria
Sanna-Kaisa Spoof, General Secretary of TENK and President of ENRIO, Finland

10:30-11:30 CEST	Session 7. Open science and research integrity Chair: Maura Hiney	
	<b>Henriikka Mustajoki</b> , National Open Science in Finland, Federation Finnish of Learned Societies, Finland. <i>Open science – a key to responsible research and research integrity</i>	
	<b>Olivier Le Gall</b> , French advisory board for research integrity (OFIS), France. <i>Bibliodiversity, open science and research integrity</i>	
11:30-12:30 CEST	Lunch break	
12:30-13:30 CEST	Session 8. Ethical Assessment in Social Sciences and Humanities: Bridging Gaps with Additional Guidelines Chair: Erika Löfström	Presentations 5. Setting the foundations for a healthy research culture Chair: Pablo Fernandez
	Rauna Kuokkanen, University of Lapland, Finland. Relational accountability of indigenous and Sámi research ethics	Fenneke Blom, Dorien van der Schot and Lex Bouter, the Netherlands.  The first five years of the Netherlands Research Integrity Network (NRIN)  – lessons learnt and future perspectives
	Elisabeth Staksrud, University of Oslo and the National Committee for Research Ethics in the Social Sciences and the Humanities, Norway. Why do we need specific ethical guidelines for Internet research?	Rea Roje*, Vicko Tomić, Ivan Buljan and Ana Marušić, on behalf of the SOPs4RI consortium. Development and implementation of research integrity guidance documents: explorative interviews with research integrity experts
	Lene Os Johannessen and Nils Anfinset, Norwegian National Research Ethics Committees, Norway. <i>Research on human remains – research ethics, integrity and practice</i>	Mervi Heikkinen, University of Oulu, Finland. What if intersectional gender-responsibility was a focus for research integrity in European universities?
13:30-14:30 CEST	Session 9. Research integrity training track (2) Chair: Nicole Föger	Presentations 6. Research ethics in the 2020s: Citizen science, big data and Al Chair: Edwin Constable

	inspirational role models and on their role in promoting a responsible research climate	Ethics in Citizen Science
	Julia Priess-Buchheit and Rebecca Fischer, Hochschule für angewandte Wissenschaften Coburg, Germany. Experiences of Path2Integrity to Foster Research Integrity in Europe	Helene Ingierd*, Sean Dexter Denham, Trine B. Haugen, Ivar Kolstad, Rune Nydal, Hannah Monsrud Sandvik and Ingrid S. Torp, Norway. <i>Big Data-research: Big Opportunities and Big Challenges</i>
	Sonja Bjelobaba and Stefan Eriksson, Uppsala University, Sweden. <i>Courses in Research Ethics at Uppsala University</i>	Øyvind Mikkelsen*, Lene Os Johannessen, and Helene Ingierd, Norway. Reflections on Research Ethics in Artifical Intelligence: Input from the National Deliberation Report Norway
14:30-15:00		
CEST	Coffee break	
15:00-16:00 CEST	Session 10. From the constellation of EU funded projects Chair: Panagiotis Kavouras	Presentations 7. Practical aspects of research integrity work Chair: Michael Gommel
	Dr. Agnieszka Dwojak-Matras* and Dr. Katarzyna Kalinowska, Educational Research Institute IBE, Poland. <i>Doing positive integrity: How to promote good science by involving role-models? The Path2Integrity Experience</i>	Katharina Beier*, University of Göttingen, and Helga Nolte, University of Hamburg, Germany. Conditions and challenges of ombuds work: Insights from an explorative survey amongst ombudspersons at German research performing institutions
	Natalie Evans*, Giulia Inguaggiato, Marc van Hoof, Fenneke Blom and Guy Widdershoven, Amsterdam UMC, the Netherlands. <i>Building an online open source toolbox for research integrity education and training</i>	Mari-Liisa Parder, University of Tartu, Estonia. <i>Using dilemma game for research integrity. The case of Estonian Code of Conduct for Research Integrity</i>
	Joeri Tijdink on behalf of the SOPs4RI consortium. Recommendations to institutions and funders on dealing with breaches of research integrity – Insights from the SOPs4RI project (H2020)	Sirpa Aalto*, Egle Gedrimiene, Elina Hyrkäs, Miki Kallio and Jaana O. Liimatainen, University of Oulu, Finland. <i>Promoting Open Science in the Academic Community - How to Change the Attitudes?</i>
	Tom Lindemann, European Network of Research Ethics Committees / EUREC Office. The Research Integrity Education Cluster in the EU's Science with and for Society Programme: Upgrading Educational Practices	

Daniel Pizzolato\* and Kris Dierickx, KU Leuven, Belgium. *Mentors as* 

Per Sandin, Uppsala University, Sweden. Research Integrity and Research

16:00-17:00 CEST Session 11. Insights into research integrity in Europe Chair: Riitta Keiski

**Ulrike Beisiegel**, President Emerita of the University of Göttingen, Germany. *Research integrity as a long-lasting challenge for the scientific system - 20 years' experience in the German system* 

**Krista Varantola**, Chancellor Emerita, University of Tampere, Finland. Responsible research – who is responsible? Reflections on current developments

17:00-17:15 CEST

**ENRIO studio: Closing words** 

\*: Presenter

#### THE VIRTUAL POSTERS:

# Revised rules of procedure for dealing with research misconduct by a funding organization

Dr. Gabriela Bahadori and Dr. Philip Ridder, the Equal Opportunities, Research Integrity and Cross- Programme Development division, German Research Foundation (DFG), Germany

# Ethics evaluation of Horizon 2020 grant proposals

Ivan Buljan\*, Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia David Pina, European Research Executive Agency, Brussels, Belgium
Ana Marušić, Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia

# Retrospective analysis of the peer review evaluation of the Marie Curie research funding programme over a period of 12 years

Ivan Buljan\*, Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia David Pina, European Research Executive Agency, Brussels, Belgium

Ana Marušić, Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia

#### Creating a conscientious environment of research integrity

Anna Carla Goldberg\*, Anna Davison and Luiz Vicente Rizzo, Albert Einstein Research and Education Institute, Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, Brazil

#### Gift or guest authorship among supervisors and students

Kamilė Kapočiūtė-Sabaitienė, Senior Specialist of the Office of Ombudsperson for Academic Ethics and Procedures, Lithuania

# Renewing ethical boards of the University of Helsinki

Aura Kivilaakso and Seija Oikarinen, Research Services, Support for Research Management, University of Helsinki, Finland

#### The Finnish System of Research Integrity Advisers

lina Kohonen and Minna Aittasalo, Finnish National Board on Research Integrity TENK

### Institutional guidelines and policies on research integrity education – Insights from the H2020 SOPs4RI project

Krishma Labib and Joeri Tijdink on behalf of the SOPs4RI consortium. Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit, Department of Ethics, Law and Humanities, Amsterdam Public Health Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Revised guidelines for safeguarding good research practice by a funding organization – Embedding a new culture of research integrity Dr. Sonja Ochsenfeld-Repp (Head of Division) and Martin Steinberger (Director/Head of Unit Research Integrity), Equal Opportunities, Research Integrity and Cross-Programme Development division of German Research Foundation (DFG), Germany

# The adaptation of institutional regulations of ethics committees to national recommendations

Dr. Eglė Ozolinčiūtė, Office of the Ombudsperson for Academic Ethics and Procedures, Lithuania

# The protection of genetic data for research purposes in the European Union

Ilja Richard Pavone, National Research Council of Italy (CNR), Italy

# Identifying best strategy for fostering academic integrity: Qualitative comparative analysis

Sanja Pekovic\*, Rajka Djokovic, Dijana Vuckovic and Jovana Janinovic, University of Montenegro, Montenegro

# How to find the right tool at the right time? Collecting and characterizing existing and freely available research integrity educational resources

Daniel Pizzolato\* and Kris Dierickx. KU Leuven, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Centre for Biomedical Ethics and Law, Leuven, Belgium

#### Activating institutional change towards Responsible Research & Innovation and Open Science

Helene Brinken, TIB Leibniz Information Centre for Science and Technology, University Library, former: Goettingen State and University Library, Germany helene.brinken@tib.eu

Maxie Gottschling, Goettingen State and University Library, Germany gottschling@sub.uni-goettingen.de
Rafael Maria Raschkowski, Goettingen State and University Library, Germany rafael-maria.raschkowski@sub.uni-goettingen.de
Dr. Birgit Schmidt\*, Goettingen State and University Library, Germany <a href="mailto:bschmidt">bschmidt</a>\*, Goettingen.de

#### Greek institutional Research Ethics and Deontology Committees: Experiences from the first three years of operation

Eleni Spyrakou\*, Panagiotis Kavouras, Vana Stavridi, Costas A. Charitidis, RNanolab, National Technical University of Athens, School of Chemical Engineering, Athens, Greece

#### Implementation matters! Research administrators in ethics and research integrity

Borana Taraj and Nik Claesen, EARMA

# Ethical reasoning and protocols for improving the scientific integrity

Christian Toinard, Full Professor in Computer Sciences, INSA Val de Loire – LIFO, Officer of deontology, scientific ethics and integrity of INSA Val de Loire, France

# Training for research integrity and research ethics: a scoping review

Ružica Tokalić\*, Ivan Buljan and Ana Marušić / the EnTIRE Consortium, Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia

# An evaluation of scientific virtues for ethics and research integrity training

Vicko Tomić<sup>1, 2\*</sup>, Ružica Tokalić<sup>2</sup>, Ivan Buljan<sup>2</sup>, Marin Viđak<sup>2</sup>, Rea Roje<sup>2</sup>, Ana Marušić<sup>2</sup>
<sup>1</sup>ST-OPEN, University of Split, Split, Croatia, <sup>2</sup> Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia

# TETRIAS: translating researchers' experiences into training on research integrity at universities of applied sciences – interviews to set the training agenda

Rob van der Sande\*, Nijmegen, The Netherlands and Fenneke Blom, Amsterdam UMC and Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

#### Best practices for fostering research integrity – results from the INSPIRE project

Dorien van der Schot, the INSPIRE Project and the Netherlands Research Integrity Network, VU University Amsterdam, the Netherlands

#### **Ethical climate in universities**

Marin Viđak (1), Lana Barać (1), Ivan Buljan (1), Ružica Tokalić (1), Darko Hren (2), Ana Marušić (1)

1. Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia, 2. Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Split, Split, Croatia

#### Who made the biggest cheating? Students' opinions about plagiarism made by different persons

Dijana Vucković\* and Sanja Pekovic, University of Montenegro, Montenegro

### Preventing predatory publishing: the CNR's Italian guidelines for researchers

Roberta Martina Zagarella\*, Marco Annoni and Cinzia Caporale. CNR Interdepartmental Center for Research Ethics and Integrity (Italy), Italy

The virtual posters consist of a poster pdf and a short presentation video. They can be viewed in the poster rooms of the congress platform. Poster abstracts

