# ENRIO 2021 Congress on Research Integrity Practice

**Monday 27.9.**

## Workshops

### Day 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (CEST)</th>
<th>Stream 1</th>
<th>Stream 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:45-11:00 CEST</td>
<td><strong>Session 1. Opening session at the ENRIO studio</strong>&lt;br&gt;Chair: ENRIO host Satu Lipponen</td>
<td><strong>Welcome to the congress! Greetings from the organisers:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Riitta Keiski, Chair of the Finnish National Board on Research Integrity TENK, Finland&lt;br&gt;Sanna-Kaisa Spoof, President of the European Network of Research Integrity Offices ENRIO, Finland&lt;br&gt;Ilkka Niemelä, President of Aalto University, Finland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00-12:00 CEST</td>
<td><strong>Daniele Fanelli</strong>, London School of Economics and Political Science, UK. <em>What new challenges lie ahead for research integrity officers?</em>**&lt;br&gt;<strong>Session 2. Institutional responsibility and research funding</strong>&lt;br&gt;Chair: Krista Varantola</td>
<td><strong>Lex Bouter</strong>, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, the Netherlands. <em>What research institutions can do to foster research integrity</em>**&lt;br&gt;<strong>Isidoros Karatzas</strong>, Research Ethics and Integrity Sector, European Commission. <em>Research integrity priorities in Horizon Europe: preparing for the new challenges</em>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Session/Chair/Presenter/Institution/Title</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00-13:00</td>
<td>Lunch break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 13:00-14:00  | **Session 3. Authorship issues in research publishing**  
Chair: Hjördis Czesnick  
- **Pekka Louhiala**, University of Tampere, Finland. *Who is an author?*  
- **Elizabeth Moylan**, Research Integrity and Publishing Ethics at Wiley, UK. *Why does authorship matter?*  |
|              | **Presentations 1. European perspectives of research misconduct**  
Chair: Loreta Tauginienè  
- Tamarinde Haven*, Joeri Tijdink, Brian Martinson, Lex Bouter, Frans Oort, Amsterdam, NL and Minnesota, US. *Explaining variance in perceived research misbehaviour: Results from a survey among academic researchers in Amsterdam*  
- Katharina Miller, Partner & Gender Expert, Path2Integrity. *Overview of sanctions for research misconduct within European Union: a legal perspective*  
- Sandra Bendiscioli, EMBO, Germany. *Options for a more consistent governance of research integrity in Europe*  |
| 14:00-15:00  | **Presentations 2. National research integrity systems: France, Estonia and Ukraine**  
Chair: Urša Opara Krašovec  
- Caroline Strube*, Joëlle Alnot, Romain Pierronnet, Catherine Tessier and Nathalie Theret, OFIS, ONERA, RESINT and RNCD, France. *Research integrity and ethics training in France: a national overview*  
- Margit Sutrop*, Marten Juurik, Kristi Lõuk, Mari-Liisa Parder and Katrin Velbaum, University of Tartu, Estonia. *How to engage the whole research community in the writing of the code of research integrity: Estonian experience*  |
|              | **Presentations 3. Developing best practices for a healthy research culture**  
Chair: Siret Rutiku  
- Giorgia Adamo* and Cinzia Caporale, National Research Council, Italy. *Preventing and managing research misconduct: the experience of the CNR Research Ethics and Integrity Committee*  
- Tobias Grimm, German Research Foundation (DFG), Germany. *Good practice publishing as part of research integrity practice*  |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 15:00-15:15 | CEST  
Coffee break & virtual posters                                   |
| 15:15-15:30 | CEST  
ENRIO studio takes a look at research integrity in technology and arts: Interview with Ossi Naukkarinen, Vice President of Research, Aalto University |
| 15:30-16:30 | CEST  
Session 4. EU data protection laws and research integrity  
Chair: Annette Birkeland  
Presentations 4. Conflicting interests, multiple actors: Challenges in research misconduct investigations  
Chair: Bert Seghers  
David Erdos, University of Cambridge, UK. Academic expression, knowledge facilitation and the General Data Protection Regulation  
Marten Juurik, University of Tartu, Estonia. Conflict of interests and conflict of loyalties in the context of handling cases of misconduct: the example of implementing the Estonian Code of Conduct for Research Integrity  
Maria Rehinder, Aalto University, Finland. Artistic expression, artistic research and informing the data subject  
Jennifer Van Aswegen, Social Policy Ireland, Ireland. Authorship accountability and research governance: Public policy and research integrity in a time of crisis - A case study from Ireland  
Helena Eronen, University of Eastern Finland, Finland. Data protection issues at the UEF Committee on Research Ethics  
Vidar Enebakk, National Committee for Research Ethics in the Social Sciences and the Humanities, Norway. How can co-production in research challenge the integrity of research? |
| 16:30-17:30 | CEST  
Session 5. Research integrity training track (1)  
Chair: Nicole Föger  
Tricia Bertram Gallant, Academic Integrity Office, University of California, USA. Cultivating a barrel of good apples: Research integrity cultures & training |
### Day 2

**Wednesday, 29 September 2021**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (CEST)</th>
<th>Stream 1</th>
<th>Stream 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:30-10:30 CEST</td>
<td><strong>Session 6. Whistleblower protection</strong>&lt;br&gt;Chair: ENRIO host</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanna-Kaisa Spoof</strong>, General Secretary of TENK and President of ENRIO, Finland. <em>Who are the whistleblowers who report research misconduct and do they all need protection?</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Nicole Föger</strong>, Austrian Agency for Research Integrity, Austria. <em>Eight months digital whistleblower platform: lessons learned</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Panel discussion</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Hjördis Czesnick</strong>, German Research Ombudsman Office and vice chair of ENRIO, Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Pablo Fernandez</strong>, UKRIO and vice president of ENRIO, UK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Nicole Föger</strong>, Austrian Agency for Research Integrity, Austria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sanna-Kaisa Spoof</strong>, General Secretary of TENK and President of ENRIO, Finland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 10:30-11:30 CEST | Session 7. Open science and research integrity  
Chair: Maura Hiney |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Henriikka Mustajoki**, National Open Science in Finland, Federation Finnish of Learned Societies, Finland. *Open science – a key to responsible research and research integrity*  
**Olivier Le Gall**, French advisory board for research integrity (OFIS), France. *Bibliodiversity, open science and research integrity* |
| 11:30-12:30 CEST | Lunch break |
| 12:30-13:30 CEST | Session 8. Ethical Assessment in Social Sciences and Humanities: Bridging Gaps with Additional Guidelines  
Chair: Erika Löfström |
|  | **Rauna Kuokkanen**, University of Lapland, Finland. *Relational accountability of indigenous and Sámi research ethics*  
**Elisabeth Staksrud**, University of Oslo and the National Committee for Research Ethics in the Social Sciences and the Humanities, Norway. *Why do we need specific ethical guidelines for Internet research?*  
**Lene Os Johannessen** and **Nils Anfinset**, Norwegian National Research Ethics Committees, Norway. *Research on human remains – research ethics, integrity and practice*  
**Fenneke Blom**, Dorien van der Schot and **Lex Bouter**, the Netherlands. *The first five years of the Netherlands Research Integrity Network (NRIN) – lessons learnt and future perspectives*  
**Rea Roje***, **Vicko Tomić**, **Ivan Buljan** and **Ana Marušić**, on behalf of the SOPs4RI consortium. *Development and implementation of research integrity guidance documents: explorative interviews with research integrity experts*  
**Mervi Heikkinen**, University of Oulu, Finland. *What if intersectional gender-responsibility was a focus for research integrity in European universities?* |
| 13:30-14:30 CEST | Session 9. Research integrity training track (2)  
Chair: Nicole Föger |
|  | **Presentations 6. Research ethics in the 2020s: Citizen science, big data and AI  
Chair: Edwin Constable** |
Daniel Pizzolato* and Kris Dierickx, KU Leuven, Belgium. *Mentors as inspirational role models and on their role in promoting a responsible research climate

Julia Priess-Buchheit and Rebecca Fischer, Hochschule für angewandte Wissenschaften Coburg, Germany. *Experiences of Path2Integrity to Foster Research Integrity in Europe

Sonja Bjelobaba and Stefan Eriksson, Uppsala University, Sweden. *Courses in Research Ethics at Uppsala University

Per Sandin, Uppsala University, Sweden. *Research Integrity and Research Ethics in Citizen Science

Helene Ingierd*, Sean Dexter Denham, Trine B. Haugen, Ivar Kolstad, Rune Nydal, Hannah Monsrud Sandvik and Ingrid S. Torp, Norway. *Big Data-research: Big Opportunities and Big Challenges


14:30-15:00 CEST

Coffee break

15:00-16:00 CEST

Session 10. From the constellation of EU funded projects
Chair: Panagiotis Kavouras

Dr. Agnieszka Dwojak-Matras* and Dr. Katarzyna Kalinowska, Educational Research Institute IBE, Poland. *Doing positive integrity: How to promote good science by involving role-models? The Path2Integrity Experience

Natalie Evans*, Giulia Inguaggiato, Marc van Hoof, Fenneke Blom and Guy Widdershoven, Amsterdam UMC, the Netherlands. *Building an online open source toolbox for research integrity education and training

Joeri Tijdink on behalf of the SOPs4RI consortium. *Recommendations to institutions and funders on dealing with breaches of research integrity – Insights from the SOPs4RI project (H2020)

Tom Lindemann, European Network of Research Ethics Committees / EUREC Office. *The Research Integrity Education Cluster in the EU’s Science with and for Society Programme: Upgrading Educational Practices

Presentations 7. Practical aspects of research integrity work
Chair: Michael Gommel

Katharina Beier*, University of Göttingen, and Helga Nolte, University of Hamburg, Germany. *Conditions and challenges of ombuds work: Insights from an explorative survey amongst ombudspersons at German research performing institutions

Mari-Lisa Parder, University of Tartu, Estonia. *Using dilemma game for research integrity. The case of Estonian Code of Conduct for Research Integrity

Sirpa Aalto*, Egle Gedrimiene, Elina Hyrkäs, Miki Kallio and Jaana O. Liimatainen, University of Oulu, Finland. *Promoting Open Science in the Academic Community - How to Change the Attitudes?
16:00-17:00 CEST

**Session 11. Insights into research integrity in Europe**
**Chair: Riitta Keiski**

**Ulrike Beisiegel**, President Emerita of the University of Göttingen, Germany.  
*Research integrity as a long-lasting challenge for the scientific system - 20 years' experience in the German system*

**Krista Varantola**, Chancellor Emerita, University of Tampere, Finland.  
*Responsible research – who is responsible? Reflections on current developments*

17:00-17:15 CEST

**ENRIO studio: Closing words**

*: Presenter

---

**THE VIRTUAL POSTERS:**

**Revised rules of procedure for dealing with research misconduct by a funding organization**
Dr. Gabriela Bahadori and Dr. Philip Ridder, the Equal Opportunities, Research Integrity and Cross-Programme Development division, German Research Foundation (DFG), Germany

**Ethics evaluation of Horizon 2020 grant proposals**
Ivan Buljan*, Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia  
David Pina, European Research Executive Agency, Brussels, Belgium  
Ana Marušić, Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia

**Retrospective analysis of the peer review evaluation of the Marie Curie research funding programme over a period of 12 years**
Ivan Buljan*, Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia  
David Pina, European Research Executive Agency, Brussels, Belgium  
Ana Marušić, Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia
Creating a conscientious environment of research integrity
Anna Carla Goldberg*, Anna Davison and Luiz Vicente Rizzo, Albert Einstein Research and Education Institute, Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, São Paulo, Brazil

Gift or guest authorship among supervisors and students
Kamilė Kapočiūtė-Sabaitienė, Senior Specialist of the Office of Ombudsperson for Academic Ethics and Procedures, Lithuania

Renewing ethical boards of the University of Helsinki
Aura Kivilaakso and Seija Oikarinen, Research Services, Support for Research Management, University of Helsinki, Finland

The Finnish System of Research Integrity Advisers
Iina Kohonen and Minna Aittasalo, Finnish National Board on Research Integrity TENK

Institutional guidelines and policies on research integrity education – Insights from the H2020 SOPs4RI project
Krishma Labib and Joeri Tijdink on behalf of the SOPs4RI consortium. Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit, Department of Ethics, Law and Humanities, Amsterdam Public Health Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Revised guidelines for safeguarding good research practice by a funding organization – Embedding a new culture of research integrity
Dr. Sonja Ochsenfeld-Repp (Head of Division) and Martin Steinberger (Director/Head of Unit Research Integrity), Equal Opportunities, Research Integrity and Cross-Programme Development division of German Research Foundation (DFG), Germany

The adaptation of institutional regulations of ethics committees to national recommendations
Dr. Eglė Ozolinčiūtė, Office of the Ombudsperson for Academic Ethics and Procedures, Lithuania

The protection of genetic data for research purposes in the European Union
Ilja Richard Pavone, National Research Council of Italy (CNR), Italy

Identifying best strategy for fostering academic integrity: Qualitative comparative analysis
Sanja Pekovic*, Rajka Djokovic, Dijana Vuckovic and Jovana Janinovic, University of Montenegro, Montenegro
How to find the right tool at the right time? Collecting and characterizing existing and freely available research integrity educational resources
Daniel Pizzolato* and Kris Dierickx. KU Leuven, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, Centre for Biomedical Ethics and Law, Leuven, Belgium

Activating institutional change towards Responsible Research & Innovation and Open Science
Helene Brinken, TIB Leibniz Information Centre for Science and Technology, University Library, former: Goettingen State and University Library, Germany helene.brinken@tib.eu
Maxie Gottschling, Goettingen State and University Library, Germany gottschling@sub.uni-goettingen.de
Rafael Maria Raschkowski, Goettingen State and University Library, Germany rafael-maria.raschkowski@sub.uni-goettingen.de
Dr. Birgit Schmidt*, Goettingen State and University Library, Germany bschmidt@sub.uni-goettingen.de

Greek institutional Research Ethics and Deontology Committees: Experiences from the first three years of operation
Eleni Spyrakou*, Panagiotis Kavouras, Vana Stavridi, Costas A. Charitidis, RNanolab, National Technical University of Athens, School of Chemical Engineering, Athens, Greece

Implementation matters! Research administrators in ethics and research integrity
Borana Taraj and Nik Claesen, EARMA

Ethical reasoning and protocols for improving the scientific integrity
Christian Toinard, Full Professor in Computer Sciences, INSA Val de Loire – LIFO, Officer of deontology, scientific ethics and integrity of INSA Val de Loire, France

Training for research integrity and research ethics: a scoping review
Ružica Tokalić*, Ivan Buljan and Ana Marušić / the EnTIRE Consortium, Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia

An evaluation of scientific virtues for ethics and research integrity training
Vicko Tomić1,2*, Ružica Tokalić2, Ivan Buljan2, Marin Viđak2, Rea Roje2, Ana Marušić2
1 ST-OPEN, University of Split, Split, Croatia, 2 Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia
TETRIAS: translating researchers’ experiences into training on research integrity at universities of applied sciences – interviews to set the training agenda
Rob van der Sande*, Nijmegen, The Netherlands and Fenneke Blom, Amsterdam UMC and Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Best practices for fostering research integrity – results from the INSPIRE project
Dorien van der Schot, the INSPIRE Project and the Netherlands Research Integrity Network, VU University Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Ethical climate in universities
Marin Viđak (1), Lana Barać (1), Ivan Buljan (1), Ružica Tokalić (1), Darko Hren (2), Ana Marušić (1)
1. Department of Research in Biomedicine and Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia, 2. Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Split, Split, Croatia

Who made the biggest cheating? Students’ opinions about plagiarism made by different persons
Dijana Vucković* and Sanja Pekovic, University of Montenegro, Montenegro

Preventing predatory publishing: the CNR’s Italian guidelines for researchers
Roberta Martina Zagarella*, Marco Annoni and Cinzia Caporale. CNR Interdepartmental Center for Research Ethics and Integrity (Italy), Italy

The virtual posters consist of a poster pdf and a short presentation video. They can be viewed in the poster rooms of the congress platform. Poster abstracts